V.S.Yarosh

УДК 511, 521.1 PACS numbers: 02.10.Ab 02.30.Xx

SOLUTION SYSTEM EQUATIONS: OF NON-MODULAR ELLIPTIC CURVES, H.POINCARE'S, P.FERMAT'S AND A.BEAL'S AS ALTERNATIVE FOR HYPOTHESIS SHIMURA-TANIYAMA AND PROOF OF A.WILES

Table of contents 1.Introduction. 2.Paradigm. 3.Part № 1. Non-modular elliptic curves. 4.Explanatory example. 5.Part № 2. (A) Non-modular curves of the first type. Non-modular elliptic curves of the second type. (B) 6.Part № 3. Secondary reduction forms of H.Poincare theory of numbers exactly solve Fermat's equation at all n > 2. 7.Part № 4. Algorithm-proof Cojecture A.Beal. 8.Part № 5. General consequence.

I N T R O D U C T I O N It is common problem of theory numbers:

GENERAL THEOREM

It is 9 TYPS of positive whole numbers :

(1) $N_{,}(v > u)_{,}(a_{0}, b_{0}, c_{0})_{,}$ $(3F'_{a}, 3F'_{b}, 3F'_{c})_{,}(A, B, C),$ $(x, y, z)_{,}(p, q, r)_{,}n_{,}(X^{*}, A^{*}, B^{*})$

as equivalent of 9 secondary reduction forms of H.Poincare,

at which have to natural basis for solutions of the following system equations :



NATURAL BASIS

here triplets numbers A, B, C contain common multiplier's for system equations

(2)
$$A^{x} + B^{y} = C^{z}$$
$$A^{p}x + B^{q}y = C^{r}z$$

and

in equations for non-modular elliptic curves

(3)
$$\mathbf{Y}^2 = (\mathbf{X}^* - \mathbf{A}^*) \times \mathbf{X}^* \times (\mathbf{X}^* + \mathbf{B}^*)$$

(4)
$$16 = 4 \times (b_0 = 2vu) = 4 \times (b_0 = 2 \times 2 \times 1)$$

(here v = 2 and u = 1)

over

first condition of secondary reduction forms H.Poincare:

(5)
$$a'_1\alpha'_1 + a'_2\alpha'_2 + a'_3\alpha'_3 = 1$$

in our case it is widened up to three corresponding conditions:

(6)
$$(a'_{1}\alpha'_{1} + a'_{2}\alpha'_{2} + a'_{3}\alpha'_{3})/3F'_{a} = 1$$
$$(b'_{1}\beta'_{1} + b'_{2}\beta'_{2} + b'_{3}\beta'_{3})/3F'_{b} = 1$$
$$(c'_{1}\gamma'_{1} + c'_{2}\gamma'_{2} + c'_{3}\gamma'_{3})/3F'_{c} = 1$$

I offer to you attention a solution of this problems as problem of General theorem arithmetic,[1] :

Every natural number N is either prime or can be uniquely factored as a product of primes in a unique way.

Primes A = { 2, 3, 5, 7, 11, 13, 17, 19, 23, 29, ...,1081,.... }

and as problem of Principle general (geometrical) co-variation, see [2].

Paradigm

«Here is not the best place to come to detailed philosophical or psychological analysis of mathematics. Any where I'd like to stress a few moments. Excessive underlining of axiomatic- deductive character of mathematics seems to be very dangerous. Of course, beginning of any constructive creative work (intuitive origin) is a source of our ideas and arguments, hardly keeps within

simple philosophical formula; and anywhere just this origin is a genuine core of any mathematical discovery, even if it belongs to the most abstract spheres. If a target is a clear deductive form, so the motive of mathematics is intuition and construction.», [1].

Being directed by this initial position of outstanding mathematician R. Curant I offer to readers attention a result of intuitive construction from which can follow

any axiomatic-deductive constructions. Intuitive -constructive origin is always very simple. Here is the beginning of such constructions:

PART № 1 Non-modular elliptic curves

It is natural numbers: N = 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7,, ∞ the quantity of the variables numbers N is endless series.

If numbers v > u are the numbers of various evenness taken from endless series of natural numbers, then IS UNITED SYSTEM NUMBERS:

- 1. The natural numbers N,
- 2. The primitive Pythagorean triplets

(7)
$$\mathbf{a}_{0} = \mathbf{v}^{2} - \mathbf{u}^{2}$$
$$\mathbf{b}_{0} = 2\mathbf{v}\mathbf{u}$$
$$\mathbf{c}_{0} = \mathbf{v}^{2} + \mathbf{u}^{2}$$

3. The primitive Diophantine triplets

(8)
$$\mathbf{a}_{*} = \sqrt[n]{\mathbf{a}_{0}^{2} \times \mathbf{D}_{n}}$$
$$\mathbf{b}_{*} = \sqrt[n]{\mathbf{b}_{0}^{2} \times \mathbf{D}_{n}}$$
$$\mathbf{c}_{*} = \sqrt[n]{\mathbf{c}_{0}^{2} \times \mathbf{D}_{n}}$$

(9) Here:
$$\mathbf{D}_{n} = (\mathbf{a}_{0}^{n-2} + \mathbf{b}_{0}^{n-2} + \mathbf{c}_{0}^{n-2}) / 3$$

common multiplier as generalized proportionality coefficient

Comment

Triplets (a_*, b_*, c_*) is roots for equation of Fermat:

(10)
$$a_*^n + b_*^n = c_*^n$$

THEOREM № 1

According of Principle general (geometrical) co-variation , [2], elliptic curve

(11)
$$Y^2 = X(X - A)(X + B)$$





(12) Here: L1 = (X - A); L2 = X; L3 = (X + B);

$$| L1 = a^n \\ L2 = b^n \\ L3 = c^n$$

then we have the following mathematical construction :

(13)
$$\mathbf{a}^{n} \times \mathbf{b}^{n} \times \mathbf{c}^{n}$$

and the following mathematical construction:

(14)
$$\mathbf{a}^2 \times \mathbf{b}^2 \times \mathbf{c}^2$$

as construction for equation of Pythagoras:

$$\mathbf{a}^2 + \mathbf{b}^2 = \mathbf{c}^2$$

It is concrete realization of Principle general (geometrical) co-variation.

THEOREM № 2

Equation of Diophant - Fermat:

$$a^n + b^n = c^n$$

is equivalent for equation Pythagoras, if
$$n = 2$$
:

$$\mathbf{a}^2 + \mathbf{b}^2 = \mathbf{c}^2$$

and for equation non-modular elliptic curve:

,

(18)
$$Y^2 = (X^* - A^*) \times X^* \times (X^* + B^*)$$

if (a,b,c):

(19)
$$a = a_0 = (v^2 - u^2)$$
$$b = b_0 = (2vu)$$
$$c = c_0 = (v^2 + u^2)$$



«All elliptic curve is modular curve» [3], is a wrong hypothesis according proof of A.Wiles [4] is a wrong proof.

CONSEQUENCE №1

Here all numbers is reciprocals primes:

$$Y^{2} = a_{0}^{2} \times b_{0}^{2} \times c_{0}^{2} =$$
$$= (X^{*} - A^{*}) \times X^{*} \times (X^{*} + B^{*})$$

(23)
$$Y = a_0 \times b_0 \times c_0 =$$
$$= function[(v^2 - u^2)(2vu)(v^2 + u^2)]$$

CONCEQUENCE №2

(24) (24) $Y^{n} = (a_{*} \times b_{*} \times c_{*})^{n} = = (a_{0} \times b_{0} \times c_{0})^{n}$ (24) $= (a_{0} \times b_{0} \times c_{0})^{n}$ (25) (25) (26) $a_{*}^{n} + b_{*}^{n} = c_{*}^{n}$ (26) $a^{n} + b^{n} = c^{n}$ Here: $|a = a_{*} \times S$ $b = b_{*} \times S$

 $\mathbf{c} = \mathbf{c}_* \times \mathbf{S}$

and S common multiplier.

(28)
$$EXPLANATORY EXAMPLE \begin{vmatrix} a_0 = 12 \\ b_0 = 35 \\ c_0 = 37 \end{vmatrix}$$

(29)
$$Y^{2} = a_{0}^{2} \times b_{0}^{2} \times c_{0}^{2} = 144 \times 1225 \times 1369 = 241491600$$

$$\mathbf{Y} = \mathbf{a}_0 \times \mathbf{b}_0 \times \mathbf{c}_0 = \mathbf{15540}$$

(31)
$$(X^* - A^*) = a_0^2 = 144$$
$$X^* = b_0^2 = 1225$$
$$(X^* + B^*) = c_0^2 = 1369$$

(32)
$$B^* = 1369 - X^* = = 1369 - 1225 = = 1369 - 1225 = = 144$$

(33)

(34)

$$\mathbf{Y} = \mathbf{a}_0 \times \mathbf{b}_0 \times \mathbf{c}_0 = \mathbf{15540}$$

$$(X^* - A^*) = a_0^2 = 144$$
$$X^* = b_0^2 = 1225$$
$$(X^* + B^*) = c_0^2 = 1369$$

(35)
$$A^* = X^* - 144 = 1225 - 144 = 1081$$

Here number \mathbf{A}^{*}

WILL NOT DIVIDE INTO / BY

(36)
$$16 = 4 \times (\mathbf{b}_0 = 2\mathbf{v}\mathbf{u}) = 4 \times (\mathbf{b}_0 = 2 \times 2 \times 1)$$

(here v = 2 and u = 1)

CONSEQUENCE №3

From which follows the calculated form for endless ensemble of primes numbers:

$$A = (b_0^2 - a_0^2)$$

NOTE To an infinite REGULAR line of natural numbers: $N = 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, \dots$

corresponds an infinite REGULAR line of simple numbers:

Every natural number N is either prime or can be uniquely factored as a product of primes in a unique way.

Primes = { 2, 3, 5, 7, 11, 13, 17, 19, 23, 29, ...,1081,.... }

calculated with the help of the my formula .

Preservation of an attribute of the REGULARITY is provided with a regularity of assignment of pairs numbers:

$$\mathbf{v} = \mathbf{u} + \mathbf{1}$$

which structure includes only EVEN_NUMBERS ${f V}$.

Infringement of this condition can break in some cases the basic property of the my formula - formation of infinite lines of simple numbers.